

On Page 14, please replace the 10<sup>th</sup> complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

In other embodiments, the weak DNA-binding domain comprises two Cys<sub>2</sub>His<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) zinc fingers.

On pages 18-19, please replace the paragraph bridging pages 18-19 with the following paragraph:

**Fig. 3.** Recognition helix sequences of fingers isolated by our selection. For candidates that were isolated multiple times (as judged by nucleotide sequence), the number of clones obtained is shown in parentheses. The consensus sequence(s) of fingers selected by phage display for each target subsite are also shown (ref. 6, + denotes a positively charged residue, \_ denotes no discernible preference). Asterisks indicate candidates with a 2 bp deletion downstream of the sequence encoding the recognition helix. Arrows illustrate a few of the most plausible potential base contacts. (SEQ ID NOS 23-91, respectively, in order of appearance).

On page 19, please replace the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

**Fig. 9.** This figure shows the results of a certain embodiment of the subject interaction trap assay wherein a DNA-sequence can be selected which interacts with a specific protein. (SEQ ID NOS 15-22, respectively, in order of appearance).

On page 19, please replace the seventh (7<sup>th</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

**Fig. 10.** This figure shows a certain embodiment of the subject ITS wherein a reporter gene under the control of a basal promoter is used to identify false positives arising from amplification of the reporter gene construct. (SEQ ID NO: 92).

On page 19, please replace the eighth (8<sup>th</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

Fig. 11. This figure shows a certain embodiment of the subject ITS wherein protein dimerization may be detected. (SEQ ID NO: 93).

On page 19, please replace the ninth (9<sup>th</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

Fig. 12. This figure shows another embodiment of the subject ITS wherein protein dimerization may be detected. (SEQ ID NO: 94).

On page 20, please replace the first (1<sup>st</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

Fig. 14. This figure shows the result of a certain embodiment of the ITS for isolation of a novel DNA binding domain from a library of random polypeptides wherein the polypeptide does not bind to the promoter region of either reporter gene. (SEQ ID NOS: 95-97, respectively, in order of appearance).

On page 20, please replace the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

Fig. 15. This figure shows the result of a certain embodiment of the ITS for isolation of a novel DNA binding domain from a library of random polypeptides wherein the polypeptide non-specifically binds to the promoter region of both reporter genes. (SEQ ID NOS: 95-97, respectively, in order of appearance).

On page 20, please replace the third (3<sup>rd</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

Fig. 16. This figure shows the result of a certain embodiment of the ITS for isolation of a novel DNA binding domain from a library of random polypeptides wherein the polypeptide specifically binds to the promoter region of one of the reporter genes. (SEQ ID NOS: 95-97, respectively, in order of appearance).

**On page 20, please replace the fourth (4<sup>th</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:**

**Fig. 17.** This figure shows the alternative result the ITS embodiment shown in Fig. 16, wherein the polypeptide specifically binds to the promoter region of the other reporter gene. (SEQ ID NOS: 95-97, respectively, in order of appearance).

**On pages 32-33, please replace the paragraph bridging pages 32-33 with the following paragraph:**

The term "zinc finger protein" or "ZFPs" or "zinc finger polypeptide" refers to proteins that bind to DNA, RNA and/or protein, in a sequence-specific manner, by virtue of a metal stabilized domain known as a zinc finger. See, for example, Miller *et al.* (1985) *EMBO J.* 4:1609-1614; Rhodes *et al.* (1993) *Sci. Amer.* Feb:56-65; and Klug (1999) *J. Mol. Biol.* 293:215-218. The most widely represented class of ZFPs, known as the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) ZFPs, comprises proteins that are composed of zinc fingers that contain two conserved cysteine residues and two conserved histidine residues. Over 10,000 C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) zinc fingers have been identified in several thousand known or putative transcription factors. Each C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) zinc finger domain comprises a conserved sequence of approximately 30 amino acids that contains the invariant cysteines and histidines in the following arrangement: -Cys-(X)<sub>2</sub>-4-Cys-(X)<sub>12</sub>-His-(X)<sub>3-5</sub>-His (SEQ ID NO: 1). In animal genomes, polynucleotide sequences encoding this conserved amino acid sequence motif are usually found as a series of tandem duplications, leading to the formation of multi-finger domains within a particular transcription factor. As used herein, "zinc finger protein" refers to known zinc finger proteins, or fragments thereof, or to novel polypeptides isolated by the methods of the invention.

**On page 63, please replace the 3<sup>rd</sup> complete paragraph with the following paragraph:**

A second class comprises proteins in which the DNA-binding domain is comprised of multiple reiterated modules that cooperate to achieve high-affinity binding of DNA. An example is the Cys<sub>2</sub>His<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) class of zinc-finger proteins, which typically contain a tandem array of from two or three to dozens of zinc-finger modules. Each module contains an alpha-

Q13  
A13  
helix capable of contacting a three to five base-pair stretch of DNA. Typically, at least three zinc-fingers are required for high-affinity DNA binding. Therefore, one or two zinc-fingers constitute a low-affinity DNA-binding domain with suitable properties for use as a component in this invention. Examples of proteins of the C2H2 class include TFIIIA, Zif268, Gli, and SRE-ZBP. (These and other proteins and DNA sequences referred to herein are well known in the art. Their sources and sequences are known.)

On page 78, please replace the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

Q14  
A14  
In this report we describe the design and testing of an *E.coli*-based selection method that can detect either protein-DNA or protein-protein interactions and that can handle libraries larger than  $10^8$  in size. We tested our new method by selecting Cys<sub>2</sub>His<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) zinc finger variants similar to those previously isolated by phage display (6, 13). The results of our selection, the rapidity of our method, and the versatility of the underlying transcriptional activation scheme suggest that this bacterial-based system should provide a useful tool for identifying and characterizing protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions.

On pages 78-79, please replace the paragraph bridging pages 78-79 with the following paragraph:

Q15  
A15  
The Gal11P-Zif123 fusion protein contains residues 263-352 of the yeast Gal11P protein (with a N342V mutation [14]) fused by a nine amino acid linker Ala-Ala-Ala-Pro-Arg-Val-Arg-Thr-Gly (SEQ ID NO: 5) to residues 327-421 of Zif268 (the region encoding the three zinc fingers). The phagemid pBR-GP-Z123 expresses the Gal11P-Zif123 hybrid protein from an IPTG-inducible *lacUV5* promoter. The pBR-GP-Z12BbsI phagemid is analogous to pBR-GP-Z123 except that Zif finger 3 is replaced with a modified Zif finger 1 in which the sequence encoding residues -1 through 6 of the finger recognition helix is replaced by unrelated sequence (a "stuffer" fragment) flanked by BbsI restriction sites. All phagemids used in this study can be easily "rescued" from cells by infection with a filamentous helper phage; infectious phage particles produced by these cells contain single-stranded phagemid DNA.

On page 79, please replace the first (1<sup>st</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

The reporter construct that expresses HIS3 ( $P_{zif}$ -HIS3-aadA) has the Zif268 binding site sequence 5'GCGTGGGCG3' centered at base pair -63 relative to the transcription start site of a weak *E. coli lac* promoter derivative (the  $P_{wk}$  promoter). The three selection strain reporters change the zinc finger binding site of  $P_{zif}$ -HIS3-aadA, replacing the sequence 5'TCGACAAGCGTGGGCG3' (SEQ ID NO: 6) (bases -74 to -59 relative to the transcription start site) with sequences that should allow binding of the desired zinc finger variants: 5'CAAGGGTTCAGGGGCG3' (SEQ ID NO: 7) (for NRE), 5'GGCTATAAAAGGGGCG3' (SEQ ID NO: 8) (for TATA), or 5'TGGGACATGTTGGGCG3' (SEQ ID NO: 9) (for p53). Each of these reporters was transferred (by recombination) to an F' episome encoding  $lacI^q$  repressor and then introduced into strain KJ1C in a single step essentially as previously described (15, J.K.J. & C.O.P., unpublished). The resulting strains were then each transformed with the pACL- $\alpha$ Gal4 plasmid to create the NRE, TATA, p53, and Zif "selection strains."

On page 82, please replace the first (1<sup>st</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

**Zinc finger domains can bind DNA and activate transcription in *E. coli*.** We tested our new *E. coli*-based system by applying it to a problem previously studied using phage display: the selection, from a large randomized library, of zinc finger variants with altered DNA binding specificities (for review, see 21). However, before proceeding with selections, we first examined whether a wild-type zinc finger protein could bind DNA and activate transcription in our system. (Relatively little information was available on the activity of Cys<sub>2</sub>His<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) zinc finger proteins in bacteria.) To do this, we constructed fusion proteins containing fragments of the yeast Gal11P and Gal4 proteins that had previously been shown to interact with each other (10, 14). Thus, we fused a Gal11P fragment to the three zinc fingers of the murine Zif268 protein (creating the Gal11P-Zif123 protein), and we replaced the carboxy-terminal domain of the *E. coli* RNA polymerase  $\alpha$  subunit with a Gal4 fragment (creating the chimeric  $\alpha$ Gal4 protein). A Zif268 DNA binding site was positioned upstream of our  $P_{wk}$ -HIS3-aadA operon to create the  $P_{zif}$ -HIS3-aadA operon (Figure 1C), and this cassette was introduced into a  $\Delta hisB$  *E. coli* strain in single copy to create the "Zif reporter strain."

On page 86, please replace the first (1<sup>st</sup>) complete paragraph with the paragraph set forth below. Please note that the underlining appearing in lines 9 and 10 of this paragraph (i.e., NSGSHK and NHGSWK) appears in the original text.

For the NRE target subsite, an initial attempt using our new selection method yielded only one finger (NSGSWK) (SEQ ID NO: 10) that bound preferentially to the target sequence. Based on our existing knowledge of zinc finger-DNA recognition (reviewed in 21), one can postulate reasonable contacts between recognition helix residues of this finger and bases in the primary strand of the NRE subsite (Figure 3C). However, we were initially concerned by the relatively low frequency of fingers selected for this site, and we repeated the selection using an additional enrichment step in an attempt to isolate more fingers. The great majority of sequences isolated this way had the same amino acid sequence as the candidate originally selected (NSGSWK) (SEQ ID NO: 10) but two closely related sequences (NSGSHK (SEQ ID NO: 11) and NHGSWK (SEQ ID NO: 12)) were also identified. These results suggested that we might have obtained a small number of clones merely because very few candidates in our library can pass the threshold set in our NRE selection.

On page 86, please replace the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) complete paragraph with the paragraph set forth below. Please note that the underlining appearing in line 1 of this paragraph (i.e., not) appears in the original text.

As shown in Figure 3C, the sequences of fingers isolated in our NRE selections do not match the consensus sequence for fingers selected by phage display. We performed several experiments to explore the basis of this difference: We first checked our library by sequencing random candidates to ensure that there was no drastic bias in nucleotide distribution and were able to rule this out as a plausible explanation (unpublished data). We then decided to directly introduce (in exactly the same context) one of the fingers (TRTNKS) (SEQ ID NO: 13) that had been selected by phage display (6) and see whether it could work in our system as a Gal11P-zinc finger fusion protein. We find that NRE selection strain cells expressing this TRTNKS (SEQ ID NO: 13) finger fusion protein grow very poorly on HIS selective medium whereas the same cells expressing the NSGSWK (SEQ ID NO: 10) finger fusion (obtained in our selections) grow robustly (unpublished data). The simplest explanation for this result is that the TRTNKS (SEQ ID NO: 13) finger fusion binds poorly to the NRE subsite and therefore only weakly stimulates

219  
ama

HIS3 expression. This explanation is supported by our observation that earlier selections with the NRE subsite, using a prototype of our system in which zinc fingers were expressed from a much higher copy number phagemid, had yielded the TRTNKS (SEQ ID NO: 13) as well as the NSGSWK (SEQ ID NO: 10) finger (J.K.J. and C.O.P., unpublished data). This suggests that our current system sets a very stringent standard for the NRE selections and may account for why we isolated such a small number of specific candidates.

2  
On page 87, please replace the first (1<sup>st</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

We also used our binding site preference assay to compare the specificity of the NSGSWK (SEQ ID NO: 10) finger we had selected for the NRE subsite with that of the TRTNKS (SEQ ID NO: 13) finger selected by phage display. In our bacterial-based assays, the NSGSWK (SEQ ID NO: 10) finger binds specifically to the NRE subsite and binds only very weakly to the TATA subsite. By contrast, the TRTNKS (SEQ ID NO: 13) finger binds only weakly to all four subsites (exhibiting a preference for the NRE and TATA subsites over the p53 and Zif subsites) (unpublished data). These results suggest that the NSGSWK (SEQ ID NO: 10) finger we selected actually binds more tightly and specifically in our system than the TRTNKS (SEQ ID NO: 13) finger identified earlier by phage display.

On page 87, please replace the second (2<sup>nd</sup>) complete paragraph with the following paragraph:

221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Each of our three selections also yielded a small percentage of fingers that bind non-specifically to all four DNA subsites tested. Surprisingly, all of these fingers match a consensus sequence of the form R+WL+L (SEQ ID NO: 14) (where + denotes a positively charged residue, Figure 3D). These fingers are rich in positive charge and may make extra phosphate contacts. We also note that all of these fingers have a tryptophan residue at position 2 and thus would not have been present in the libraries used for earlier phage display experiments. This highly conserved set of non-specific fingers raises many interesting questions: What level of specificity is required for a zinc finger protein to function in our assay (and thus to what extent does the *E. coli* chromosome function as a non-specific competitor)? How do these fingers bind? Why is this particular class of non-specific fingers the only type selected in our system?

On page 94, please replace the first (1<sup>st</sup>) complete paragraph with the paragraph set forth below. Please note that the underlining appearing in lines 5 and 7 of this paragraph (i.e., CXGGACACGTX and CGGGANNNNNG) appears in the original text.

In addition to selecting proteins that bind to a specific DBS, this bacterial ITS can also be used to select DBS's that interact with a specific protein. Figure 9 shows the results for such an *in vivo* site selection to select DNA sequences that interact with the P53<sup>zf</sup> protein. The consensus binding site, as determined by Wolfe et. al., JMB 285, p1917-1934 (1999), for the P53<sup>zf</sup> protein is CXGGACACGTX (SEQ ID NO: 15) where X indicates no clear sequence preference at that position. A library of EGFP reporter plasmids containing the partially randomized binding site CGGGANNNNNG (SEQ ID NO: 16) was created (where N indicates a mixture of A, G, C, T) and introduced into host cells containing the  $\alpha$ -Gal4 and Gal11p-P53<sup>zf</sup> fusion proteins. These cells were then grown to saturation at 37°C in LB media with the appropriate antibiotics and then 100  $\mu$ l of this culture was used to inoculate 10 ml of minimal media (as described in example 3) containing 10 ng/ml aTc and 100  $\mu$ M IPTG. These cultures were then incubated for 24 hours at 30° C on a rotating drum incubator. After incubation, one round of FACS sorting was performed on a Cytomation MoFlo multiple laser FACS sorter and individual EGFP positive clones were selected. Of 20 clones analyzed, 16 were EGFP positive (i.e. expressed at least 2 fold more EGFP than control cells). These 16 positive clones contained three unique P53<sup>zf</sup> binding sites. The most abundant of these sites matched the consensus from the *in vitro* site selection.

**In the claims:**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.121(c)(3), replace claim 18 with claim 18 as set forth below. A marked-up version of claim 18 showing the changes made follows the Remarks section of this Response.

18. The method of claim 16, wherein the weak DNA-binding domain comprises two Cys<sub>2</sub>His<sub>2</sub> (SEQ ID NO: 4) zinc fingers.